

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/335,648	06/18/1999	KEVIN L. KIMLE	P03566USO	6709	
75	90 05/13/2002				
DANIEL J COSGROVE ZARLEY MCKEE THOMTE VOORHEES & SEASE SUITE 3200 801 GRAND AVENUE			EXAMINER		
			KYLE, CHARLES R		
			PAPER NUMBER		
·			3624		
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/2002	DATE MAILED: 05/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

cd

				(
1 .	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	Advisory Action	09/335,648	KIMLE ET AL.	
4	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	1	Charles Kyle	2164	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	ss
There final r condi	REPLY FILED 17 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE TH fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply t h places the application	to a on in
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
fee hav fee und (2) as s	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Itensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The rebeen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officiled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officiled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officiled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the appropount of the fee. The approportionally set in the final Of	ee MPEP riate extension oriate extension ffice action; or
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
	they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
•) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·	2-0	a life diamental and
	 they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or 			
) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:		inally rejected claims.	
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ar	mendment
5.	The a)⊠ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)□ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		idered but does NOT	place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were i	newly
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			d an
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected:			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examine	er.
9.□	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	

10. Other: ____

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the evidence that due diligence was shown in order to antedate the Walker et al reference is non-persuasive..

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100